Justice Manmohan Sarin Complaint No. C-502/Lok/2010

Lokayukta on its own motion in Re:

Ms. Preeti Behn, Original Informant

And

Mr. Geeta, Councillor Respondent

Present:

 Mr. Chetan Lokur, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Viraj R. Datar Advocate, Amicus Curiae.

Pursuant to the order passed on 26th May, 2011 a report

- 2. Mr. N. K. Join, AE
- 3. Mr. Kulbir Singh, AE (B) MCD.
- 4. Mr. Jagdish Dayani, AE (HQs), MCD.

has been submitted by Sh. Jagdish Dayani, who was directed to personally vist the site and verify the factual position. The property in question is stated to be belonging to Sh. Tansukh Gautam, father of the Respondent. He appears to have usual documents in his favour. Further, the Respondent-Councillor got married on 30th April, 2009 and resides with her husband at Gaziabad. UP. Further enquiries are stated to have been made from Resident Welfare Association of the area. It has been revealed that the Respondent Smt. Geeta, Councillor

comes to the Association's Office two days in a week to

attend to the grievances of the Public. Further, that she may

be an occasional visitor to her tather's residence which was

used by her prior to the marriage. In view of the report as well



as documents placed on record, it would be seen that it cannot be said that the Respondent-Councillor is having any

beneficial enjoyment of property which $\dot{\pmb{\nu}}$ in unauthorized $\dot{\mathcal{W}}$ colony or belongs to her. Accordingly, the notice dated

11.2.2011, is discharged. The report submitted by the MCD is taken on record and the case is closed

(Justice Manmohan Sarin)
Lokayukta

Dated: 28.07.2010